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## The Dual Ec PRNG

- $\varphi$ : prime curve $\rightarrow$ integers

$$
\varphi(x, y)=x
$$

- P, Q points on the curve (per SP800-90)


Equations:

$$
r_{i}=\varphi\left(s_{i}^{*} P\right) \quad t_{i}=\varphi\left(r_{i}^{*} Q\right) \quad s_{i+1}=\varphi\left(r_{i}^{*} P\right)
$$

## The Objection

- Point $P$ is generator of the curve (per SP800-90).
- Point $Q$ is a specified constant. It is not stated how it was derived.
- NIST prime curves have prime order. So there exists e such that $Q^{e}=P$.


## The Attack

- Output: $S$, the set of possible values of $s_{i+1}$ the internal state of the Dual Ec PRNG at the subsequent step.
- Suppose an attacker knows value e.

Given: a block of output $o_{i}$ from a Dual EC PRNG Instance
Set $S=\{ \}$.
For $0 \leq u \leq 2^{16}-1$
$x=u \mid o_{i}$
$z \equiv x^{3}+a x+b \bmod p$.
If $\mathrm{y} \equiv z^{1 / 2} \bmod p$ exists $=>A=(x, y)$ is on the curve

$$
S=S \cup\left\{\varphi\left(e^{*} A\right)\right\} .
$$

## How this works:

- One of the values $x=t_{i}$

If $A$ is the point with $x$ coordinate $t_{i}$ then:

$$
A=r_{i}^{*} Q
$$

Thus:
$\varphi\left(e^{*} A\right)=\varphi\left(e^{*} r_{i}{ }^{*} Q\right)=\varphi\left(r_{i}{ }^{*} P\right)=s_{i+1}$.
$=>s_{i+1}$ is in $S$.

- $|S| \approx 2^{15}$


## Experimental Verification

1. Pick NIST P-256 Curve
2. Chose random $d$
3. Chose $Q_{2}=d^{*} P$
4. Replace $Q$ with $Q_{2}$
5. Given |Output| $=32>$ out block length
6. Filter out $s_{i+1}$ values that do not generate next 2 bytes.

In every experiment 32 bytes of output was sufficient to uniquely identify the internal state of the PRNG.

## The Main Point

- If an attacker knows $d$ such that $d^{*} P=Q$ then they can easily compute $e$ such that $e^{*} Q=P$ (invert mod group order)
- If an attacker knows e then they can determine a small number of possibilities for the internal state of the Dual Ec PRNG and predict future outputs.
- We do not know how the point $Q$ was chosen, so we don't know if the algorithm designer knows $d$ or e.


## Conclusion

- WHAT WE ARE NOT SAYING: NIST intentionally put a back door in this PRNG
- WHAT WE ARE SAYING:

The prediction resistance of this PRNG (as presented in NIST SP800-90) is dependent on solving one instance of the elliptic curve discrete log problem.
(And we do not know if the algorithm designer knew this before hand.)

## Suggestions for Improvement

- Truncate off more than the top 16 bits of the output block.
- Results on extractors from $x$ coordinates of EC points of prime curves suggest truncating off the top bitlen/2 bits is reasonable.
- Generate a random point $Q$ for each instance of the PRNG.

